



CITY OF OREGON CITY PARKS AND RECREATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

**Virtual Meeting
Thursday, September 24, 2020 at 7:00 PM**

This is a virtual only meeting if you would like to participate please contact Kendall Reid at kendallreid@orcity.org.

CALL TO ORDER

INTRODUCTIONS

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Minutes of the August 27, 2020 Regular Meeting

PUBLIC COMMENT

Citizens are allowed up to 3 minutes to present information relevant to the City but not listed as an item on the agenda. To assist in tracking your time, refer to the timer at the dais. Prior to speaking, citizens shall complete a comment form and deliver it to the Staff Member. When the Chair calls your name, proceed to the speaker table and state your name and city of residence into the microphone. The Historic Review Board Officers do not generally engage in dialog with those making comments but may refer the issue to the City Manager.

GENERAL BUSINESS

2. Expansion of 6th Street Library Book Return
3. ODLA Pilot Program (Off Leash Dog Area)
4. Heritage Stand Nominations
5. OC 2040 Comprehensive Plan Update

MEMBER REPORTS

STAFF REPORTS

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

6. List of Future Agenda Items
 - Tyrone S. Woods Memorial Park - 12/5/2019
 - Parks and Recreation Funding
 - Clackamas Park Master Plan

- Buena Vista House - 1/23/2020
- WES Good Neighbor Funds
- Joint Operations Center
- Willamette Falls Legacy Project
- Property Acquisition
- Dog Park – 9/24/2020
- Review Goals - 2/27/2020
- The Cove

NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING - October 22, 2020

7. October 22, 2020

ADJOURNMENT

PUBLIC COMMENT GUIDELINES

Citizens are allowed up to 3 minutes to present information relevant to the City but not listed as an item on the agenda. Prior to speaking, citizens shall complete a comment form and deliver it to the Staff Member. When the Chair calls your name, proceed to the speaker table and state your name and city of residence into the microphone. To assist in tracking your speaking time, refer to the timer on the table.

As a general practice, the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee does not engage in discussion with those making comments.

Electronic presentations are permitted but shall be delivered to the City Recorder 48 hours in advance of the meeting.

ADA NOTICE

The location is ADA accessible. Hearing devices may be requested from the City Staff Member prior to the meeting. Individuals requiring other assistance must make their request known 48 hours preceding the meeting by contacting the City Recorder's Office at 503 657 0891

Agenda Posted at City Hall, Pioneer Community Center, Library, City Web site.

Video Streaming & Broadcasts: The meeting is streamed live on Internet on the Oregon City's Web site at www.orcity.org and available on demand following the meeting. The meeting can be viewed live on Willamette Falls Television on channel 28 for Oregon City area residents. The meetings are also rebroadcast on WFMC. Please contact WFMC at 503 650 0275 for a programming schedule

City of Oregon City
Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes
Virtual Meeting Thursday, August 27, 2020 at 7:00 PM

CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order shortly after 7:00 pm

INTRODUCTIONS

Members: Brent Haverkamp; Shawn Dachtler; Andy Crump; Doug Neeley; Troy Bolinger; Derick Cogle; Alicia Hammock; Karin Morey

Staff: Kendall Reid, Parks and Recreation Director; Denise Conrad, Assistant Parks and Recreation Director; Kathy Wiseman, Senior Center Supervisor; Rochelle Anderholm-Parsch, Aquatic and Recreation Manager; Jonathan Waverly, Parks Manager

Guests: Matthew Weintraub, Tourism Program Specialist, Economic Development Department; Laura Terway, Community Development Director; Cameron McCredie, Chair of the McLoughlin Neighborhood Association; Jesse Buss, Oregon City resident; Don Robertson, previous Interim Director of Community Services

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Minutes of the June 30, 2020 Regular Meeting

*Troy Bolinger moved to approve the minutes; Shawn Dachtler seconded
All in Favor: Troy Bolinger; Derick Cogle; Alicia Hammock; Shawn Dachtler; Andy Crump; Brent Haverkamp; Doug Neeley; Karin Morey*

All opposed: none

Motion passed unanimously.

2. Minutes of the July 30, 2020 Regular Meeting

*Doug Neeley moved to approve the minutes; Shawn Dachtler seconded
All in Favor: Troy Bolinger; Derick Cogle; Alicia Hammock; Shawn Dachtler; Andy Crump; Brent Haverkamp; Doug Neeley; Karin Morey*

All opposed: none

Motion passed unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no citizen comments.

Ms. Morey confirmed with Cameron McCredie and Jesse Buss that they wished to speak under item #5, Upper Yard Charter Park Designation, rather than in Public Comments.

PRESENTATIONS

GENERAL BUSINESS

3. Oregon City Oregon Film Trail Site

Matthew Weintraub, Tourism Program Specialist with the Economic Development Department thanked the PRAC members for their service, then went through the Oregon City Film Trail Signage presentation. To help promote tourism in Oregon City, Mr. Weintraub was asking for PRAC's support in placing two sign panels on the McLoughlin Promenade highlighting key movies and TV shows that were filmed in Oregon City. No funding from the Parks Department would be needed. The location of the signage is yet to be determined, but a light pole or the flagpole are being considered, rather than digging down into the basalt cliffside. Mr. Dachtler pointed out that it is against national flag etiquette to place a sign on or near a flagpole and he recommended against doing that. Mr. Bolinger noted that Mr. Dachtler was correct and liked the idea of the sign having its own post. More questions were asked and answered pertaining to the ability to add more information and a plan to repair vandalized signs. Ms. Morey gave a Statement of Contact that she had taken part in the Tourism Group and had created a website listing everything that has been filmed in Oregon City. She asked if members felt that she should recuse herself from the vote. Mr. Bolinger noted that he thought if this were a more serious matter that they would ask Ms. Morey to recuse herself, although he felt that it wouldn't matter in this situation. Ms. Morey asked for a vote to approve the concept of the signage and to have Mr. Weintraub return when the location had been determined. Doug Neeley so moved, Andy Crump seconded.

All in Favor: Troy Bolinger; Derick Cogle; Alicia Hammock; Shawn Dachtler; Andy Crump; Brent Haverkamp; Doug Neeley; Karin Morey (with a note of previous contact)

All opposed: none

Motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Weintraub thanked the committee for the vote of confidence and stated that he would work with Kendall Reid and Staff to determine a location and would come back.

4. Additional Permitting and Notification Draft Policy for City Tree Removal

Laura Terway, Community Development Director, presented the Additional Permitting and Notification Draft Policy for City Tree Removal. Ms. Terway discussed the new draft policy that includes an internal review process, considers alternatives to tree removal and defines the public notification process that would

apply when the City wants to remove a significant tree that is either on City or Urban Renewal property or within the right-of-way. The policy would require, in some circumstances, a report from a certified arborist with analysis and alternatives, including the cost. Ms. Terway noted that an additional requirement in this policy is to establish an annual budget with dedicated funding to make alternatives to tree removal feasible. Jonathan Waverly, Parks Manager, stated that he thinks they need funding, particularly for the cost of the arborist reports. If the funding is in place, Mr. Waverly is ok with the policy. Mr. Reid noted that he had discussed with Staff to ensure they have the resources. Knowing that they will sometimes need to make unpopular decisions to remove trees (ADA issues, trip hazards, diseased trees), Mr. Reid thought it was good that the City Manager could make the decision to move forward. Kathy Wiseman commented that it is important to look at what the site is being used for as part of the assessment process. Mr. Neeley agreed with Mr. Waverly about funding, not wanting P&R budget reduced to support this policy, and he hoped the City Commission would identify additional money and consider possibly putting an arborist on staff if the need arose. Mr. Haverkamp and Mr. Bolinger also noted their concerns about funding. When asked where the money would come from, Ms. Terway responded that she felt it was reasonable to allocate \$30,000 per department in new revenue for arborist reports and alternative implementation. Doug Neeley moved to support of the draft policy as proposed; Alicia Hammock seconded. Mr. Bolinger asked if the motion included the funding. Doug Neeley modified the motion to include \$30,000 per department in funding; Alicia Hammock seconded.

All in favor: Derick Cougle; Alicia Hammock; Andy Crump; Doug Neeley; Karin Morey

All opposed: Troy Bolinger; Shawn Dachtler; Brent Haverkamp;

The motion passed with 5 Yes votes and 3 No votes.

During the voting process, Mr. Haverkamp asked Ms. Terway to confirm the total amount of funding. Ms. Terway replied that it would be \$30,000 for Parks and \$30,000 for Public Works.

After the vote, Ms. Terway stated that she would communicate the concerns about funding and about too much process and response. Mr. Neeley pointed out that Ms. Wiseman's concerns should be noted too. Ms. Terway agreed and summarized that this policy provides a process; it is not meant to stop tree removal, just explain why.

5. Upper Yard Charter Park Designation Update

Cameron McCredie, as a representative from the McLoughlin Neighborhood Association, requested 5 minutes to show a video; Lower Water Board Park Video Testimony. Ms. Morey agreed. The video, showcasing the history of the land and citizen comments of support, was played for the members. Jesse Buss, Oregon City resident, stated his support of giving Charter Park protection to the Upper Yard. Mr. Buss commented that this issue, which has extensive

community support, has been discussed many times in various committees, including a vote of support by PRAC in 2018. Mr. Buss noted that although it has taken awhile, the discussion has moved onto the City Commission and he felt that the time has passed for PRAC to weigh in. Jim Nicita, resident of Oregon City and amateur historian, stated his view that the Camp Adair buildings should be viewed as treasures deserving of a fair and involved disposition process with the City offering support to whomever acquires them. Mr. Reid noted that Staff's main concerns are wanting to do what is best for the community and to make sure the land is not turned over to Parks in disarray to be remediated with resources they don't have. Ms. Morey gave a Statement of Chair, then recused herself from the vote; noting that there is no verifiable proof that the Upper Yard was ever part of the park. Ms. Morey doesn't understand the hurry and has serious concerns with the Parks Department taking on the expense of making the property park ready. Mr. Haverkamp asked for clarification on what is a Charter Park. Mr. Reid noted that making any major changes to a Charter Park will require a community vote. Ms. Terway added that the modifications don't have to be related to park use. Discussion followed with Mr. Cogle and Mr. Neeley expressing their support for the designation. Other members, including Mr. Dachtler, Ms. Hammock and Mr. Bolinger, shared their concerns with getting locked into the limitations of the Charter Park designation, the budgetary concerns and many questioned the need for urgency. Troy Bolinger made a motion that PRAC does not support making the Upper Yard a Charter Park at this time; Shawn Dachtler seconded.

All in favor: Troy Bolinger; Alicia Hammock; Shawn Dachtler; Andy Crump; Brent Haverkamp

All opposed: Derick Cogle; Doug Neeley

Abstain: Karin Morey

The motion passed with 5 Yes votes, 2 No votes and 1 Abstain.

Ms. Morey stated that this would be brought to the City Commission and they will see what the future brings.

MEMBER REPORTS

Ms. Morey: Reported that she has an abundance of the blue can/bottle recycling bags that support the Oregon City Parks Foundation. If anyone would like some, they may contact her. Mr. Neeley and Mr. Haverkamp expressed interest. She noted that the Oregon City cemetery received a grant for a new computer system that will provide better information on the cemetery. She also thanked Staff for providing Parks information and continuing to engage the community during this time.

No other members provided reports.

STAFF REPORTS

Rochelle Anderholm-Parsch, Aquatics and Recreation Manager, provided information on the upcoming Drive-In Movies events on September 11, 12 and 19; pre-registration is required and all OHA guidelines will be followed. The Ermatinger House will be reopening for tours on September 5; pre-registration is required. A survey was put out to gather information community childcare needs.

Kathy Wiseman, Senior Center Supervisor, thanked the PRAC members for volunteering to serve on this very important board. All volunteer efforts at this time are much appreciated and keeps the community going.

Mr. Reid thanked Denise Conrad for being a rock star and gave kudos for her hard work on the two current construction projects at Tyrone S. Woods and D.C. Latourette Parks.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

6. List of Future Agenda Items

- Tyrone S. Woods Memorial Park - 12/5/2019
- Parks and Recreation Funding
- Clackamas Park Master Plan
- Buena Vista House - 1/23/2020
- WES Good Neighbor Funds
- Joint Operations Center
- Willamette Falls Legacy Project
- Property Acquisition
- Dog Park - 2/27/2020 – 9/24/2020
- Review Goals - 2/27/2020
- The Cove

Mr. Neeley requested that they are informed of the value breakdown of the deferred maintenance/projects list prior to working with the City Commission.

Ms. Morey stated that she and Mr. Dachtler had informed Mr. Reid that the deferred maintenance needs to be reviewed based on actual cost. Mr. Reid assured the members that this item is a priority. He is looking at reassessing the numbers, possibly by hiring outside help to confirm them, and will then share with PRAC.

NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING – September 24, 2020

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 8:57pm by Chair Karin Morey



CITY OF OREGON CITY

Staff Report

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

To: Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee **Agenda Date:** 09/24/2020
From: Library Director Greg Williams

SUBJECT:

Expansion of 6th Street Library Book Return

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Support the expansion of the 6th Street library book return.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

In order to address capacity issues exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Library has purchased an additional book return unit. The Library Director will review the desired permanent placement of the new book return with PRAC and answer any questions committee members may have.

BACKGROUND:

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted every aspect of City service, including the Library. While the Library building remains closed to the public, staff are working to provide as many services as possible, either virtually or thorough alternative “no-contact” methods.

In June, the library restored circulation services, allowing patrons to make appointments for no-contact holds pickups outside the building, and to return borrowed materials in our outside book returns (of which, the 6th street book drop is the most heavily-used).

Even in normal times, the 6th street book drop was undersized for the amount of materials the library circulates, and staff were required to empty the return at frequent intervals (usually hourly) throughout the day. In addition, staff were generally required to come in over holiday weekends simply to empty the return to prevent overflow.

The COVID-19 pandemic has only exacerbated these capacity issues. With the need to quarantine materials for 6 days, and the need to significantly adjust circulation staffing to ensure adequate physical distancing and continuity of operations, the expanded book return provides much-needed additional capacity and secured storage space. The

expanded book return has also allowed the library and has allowed us to keep the book drops open 24 hours/day, 7 days/week.



Figure 1 - Expanded 6th Street book return

Prior to ordering the additional book return, library staff measured to ensure that sufficient clearance remained between the expanded book return and planter box to permit unimpeded access. Library staff also confirmed with City staff that no building permits, land use applications, or historic review process was required. In addition, based on feedback from our City Attorney, as an addition or alteration to an already-existing structure, this project is exempt from the City Charter prohibition against new, non-recreational structures on park property without a public vote.

We were not able to formally update the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee prior to the book return's delivery, however, we have endeavored to make sure the Parks and Recreation Director has been kept up to date on our plans and latest developments. The Library Board expressed support for the expanded book return at their August meeting.

OPTIONS:

1. Support the expansion of the 6th Street library book return
2. Do not support the expansion of the 6th Street library book return

BUDGET IMPACT:

Amount: \$9,750

FY(s): FY 20-21

Funding Source(s): Library approved budget



CITY OF OREGON CITY

Staff Report

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

To: Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee **Agenda Date:** 09/24/2020
From: Assistant Parks & Recreation Director Denise Conrad

SUBJECT:

ODLA Pilot Program (Off Leash Dog Area)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Provide Update on ODLA Pilot Program

BACKGROUND:

In 2015, Scott Archer initiated the ODLA pilot program based on demand and community interest.

Selection of sites:

- Barclay Park
- Wesley Lynn phase 2 undeveloped area
- Park Place Park

These sites were selected based on geographic location, having undeveloped large open areas, and the ability to have a lower conflict impact to active use park areas.

- Rules and signage were established.
- The pilot program was initially discussed as a yearlong timeframe.
- The sites have been utilized, some more than others and of course based seasonally on activity.
- Mayor has suggested Stafford Park become a designated dog park.
- Online survey was provided to the public October 2019
- Survey results are attached
- PRAC was provided an update 2/27/20

OPTIONS:

1. Provide feedback.
2. Do not provide feedback.

BUDGET IMPACT:


Amount: To Be Determined

FY(s):

Funding Source(s):

Q1 Are you a resident of Oregon City?


Answered: 157 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	92.99%	146
No	7.01%	11
TOTAL		157

Q2 What neighborhood do you live in?

Answered: 157 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Barclay Hills	12.74%	20
Canemah	1.27%	2
Caufield	6.37%	10
Gaffney Lane	10.19%	16
Hazel Grove-Westling Farm	5.73%	9


Dog Off-Leash Areas in Oregon City Parks

Item 3.

Hillendale	5.73%	9
McLoughlin	5.73%	9
Park Place	7.64%	12
Rivercrest	8.28%	13
South End	10.19%	16
Tower Vista	10.19%	16
Two Rivers	0.00%	0
Live in Oregon City - Unsure of neighborhood	5.10%	8
Live outside of city limits	10.83%	17
TOTAL		157

Q3 How many dogs do you own?


Answered: 157 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
0		6.37%	10
1		53.50%	84
2		33.76%	53
3+		6.37%	10
TOTAL			157

Q4 Which Oregon City Off-Leash Dog Area (OLDA) do you most often visit?


Answered: 157 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Barclay Park	9.55%	15
Park Place Park	5.73%	9
Wesley Lynn Park	35.67%	56
I have not been to any of these Off-Leash Dog Areas	49.04%	77
TOTAL		157

Q5 How often do you visit an Off-Leash Dog Area (OLDA)?

Answered: 157 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Daily		7.64%	12
1-2 times per week		14.65%	23
Several times per month		22.93%	36
Several times per year		26.75%	42
Never		28.03%	44
TOTAL			157

Q6 If you do not visit an Off-Leash Dog Area (OLDA), where do you currently take your dog(s) to walk, socialize, exercise?

Answered: 122 Skipped: 35

Q6 If you do not visit an Off-Leash Dog Area (OLDA), where do you currently take your dog(s) to walk, socialize, exercise?

Answered: 122 Skipped: 35

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	around our neighborhood because we have no park near us.	10/29/2019 9:03 PM
2	My fenced yard, or walk the neighborhood on leash.	10/28/2019 6:32 PM
3	around my neighborhood, because there are sidewalks	10/27/2019 7:07 PM
4	Walks around the area.	10/27/2019 8:33 AM
5	Mary S Young park, and walk around Oregon City (on leash)	10/26/2019 9:24 PM
6	Clackamas community college	10/26/2019 10:12 AM
7	WATERBOARD PARK, ABERNATHY CREEK PARK, ATKINSON PARK	10/25/2019 3:15 PM
8	I walk pets through the neighborhood.	10/24/2019 9:13 PM
9	Current pup is too young. Our previous dog didn't like dog parks and was happy going on neighborhood walks.	10/22/2019 9:57 PM
10	Happy Valley Dog Park	10/21/2019 11:26 AM
11	N/A	10/19/2019 3:06 PM
12	Barclay Hills Park and Stafford Park	10/19/2019 1:59 PM
13	Walk at Chapin or stone creek	10/19/2019 8:25 AM
14	On a walk around neighborhood	10/19/2019 6:29 AM
15	Dog park in Milwaukie or Lake Oswego! It's fenced in, and clean, which is preferred.	10/18/2019 11:46 PM
16	Back yard	10/18/2019 10:56 AM
17	No where	10/17/2019 7:44 PM
18	Lake Oswego Dog Park	10/17/2019 6:42 PM
19	CCC or the prom	10/17/2019 6:11 PM
20	Lake Oswego Stafford Rd dog park	10/17/2019 4:34 PM
21	I take my dog to a park in Lake Oswego, a fenced dog park. Due to the fact that Oregon City has no fenced parks.	10/17/2019 3:59 PM
22	Chapin Park	10/17/2019 2:59 PM
23	just our neighborhood	10/17/2019 2:57 PM
24	Around Rivercrest Park	10/17/2019 12:08 PM
25	Sister has a big dog, but they stay away from dog parks and walk him on the bluff or in neighborhoods. Didn't know these existed till now!	10/16/2019 8:56 PM
26	N/A	10/14/2019 8:15 PM
27	Lake Oswego	10/14/2019 8:02 PM
28	Field off Leland between 213 & Beavercreek	10/14/2019 7:50 PM
29	Neighborhood walks, home depot	10/14/2019 7:47 PM
30	No where	10/14/2019 7:36 PM
31	My backyard	10/14/2019 7:28 PM
32	The actual dog parks in West Linn and Lake Oswego	10/14/2019 10:00 AM

Dog Off-Leash Areas in Oregon City Parks

Item 3.

33	We walk through neighborhoods and visit Hazelia Dog Park in LO (because it is fenced in)	10/14/2019 8:39 AM
34	Daily walks in neighborhood (Gladstone) and across river path around the Cove.	10/14/2019 8:10 AM
35	Lake Oswego off leash fenced dog park	10/14/2019 12:26 AM
36	Leashed in Neighborhood. Exercise in back yard too.	10/13/2019 11:02 PM
37	Mary S Young Park	10/13/2019 10:25 PM
38	Walk around park and play with friend dogs	10/13/2019 9:32 PM
39	neighborhood	10/13/2019 9:06 PM
40	Sidewalks, on leash	10/13/2019 8:58 PM
41	My yard or the OC shopping center (Coastal) or Home Depot	10/13/2019 8:42 PM
42	Forest, Mclver,	10/13/2019 8:17 PM
43	Chapin Park, Clackamas Community College, Fields Bridge Park, Maddox Woods. Rivercrest Park, Stone Creek Golf Club trail	10/13/2019 6:48 PM
44	I walk them in my neighborhood.	10/13/2019 4:53 PM
45	Leashed in neighborhood and off leash in fenced back yard	10/13/2019 11:59 AM
46	To hazedeli fenced in dog park. It's a bit of a drive	10/13/2019 11:48 AM
47	Neighborhood	10/13/2019 9:17 AM
48	Around the neighborhood	10/12/2019 8:54 PM
49	Parents property	10/12/2019 8:46 PM
50	waterboard, Abernathy park, Atkinson Park, along the river between the marina and Clackmette park and the back side of the cove	10/12/2019 3:27 PM
51	I do not own a dog.	10/11/2019 10:55 PM
52	Hazelia Park because it's fenced in	10/11/2019 7:29 PM
53	Around the neighborhood	10/11/2019 5:49 PM
54	Sadly we see dogs in the ochs soccer field and XC track areas. When asked, owners say because they are fenced areas.	10/11/2019 12:58 PM
55	Other communities that have dog parks.	10/11/2019 12:45 PM
56	Our neighborhood	10/10/2019 9:04 PM
57	Mary's wood park	10/10/2019 7:50 PM
58	On leash around the neighborhood	10/10/2019 7:21 PM
59	My neighborhood	10/10/2019 7:00 PM
60	Fenced off leash park	10/10/2019 6:58 PM
61	Neighborhood parks	10/10/2019 6:54 PM
62	Hand walk Hillendale	10/10/2019 6:25 PM
63	Had no idea they existed so good thing we have a large property!	10/10/2019 4:04 PM
64	In my neighborhood, since none of the OLDAs are fenced	10/10/2019 3:45 PM
65	just around the house	10/10/2019 2:54 PM
66	Happy Valley Dog park, West Linn Dog Park, Fenced dog areas	10/10/2019 2:27 PM
67	West Linn (it's fenced)	10/10/2019 11:59 AM
68	1000 Acre Dog Park	10/10/2019 11:38 AM
69	Around the neighborhood or in my back yard	10/10/2019 11:36 AM

Dog Off-Leash Areas in Oregon City Parks

Item 3.

70	Mostly walks or Latourette Park due to it's contained area. It would be great to have a more contained are at Barclay Park. Last time we took our dog there he saw a squirrel across the road and ran across the road and into someone's property.	10/10/2019 10:28 AM
71	Yard, walk on street. No socialization though	10/10/2019 10:07 AM
72	Walks	10/10/2019 9:59 AM
73	Everywhere	10/10/2019 9:51 AM
74	Walk around neighborhood, socialize at Day Care in Damascus	10/10/2019 9:16 AM
75	lake oswego	10/10/2019 8:31 AM
76	Neighborhood	10/10/2019 8:15 AM
77	Neighborhood	10/10/2019 7:27 AM
78	Our neighborhood and take them to work.	10/9/2019 11:23 PM
79	Around my neighborhood, on a leash.	10/9/2019 10:29 PM
80	Dog park	10/9/2019 9:55 PM
81	Just walks on leash	10/9/2019 9:17 PM
82	My neighborhood in Clackamas	10/9/2019 8:50 PM
83	Parks	10/9/2019 8:34 PM
84	just walk him around the neighborhood. He is still in training so cant be off leash	10/9/2019 8:06 PM
85	A family members property in Beavercreek	10/9/2019 7:24 PM
86	N/A but when we had one, we went to North Clackamas Park	10/9/2019 6:46 PM
87	Milwaukie	10/9/2019 6:45 PM
88	Mary S Young Park	10/9/2019 6:39 PM
89	Lake Oswego dog park	10/9/2019 6:15 PM
90	Lake Oswego Dog Park	10/9/2019 6:07 PM
91	Around Rivercrest neighborhood	10/9/2019 5:57 PM
92	Walk around our complex	10/9/2019 5:39 PM
93	Happy Valley Park	10/9/2019 5:39 PM
94	There is another common area just outside the City that I use.	10/9/2019 5:35 PM
95	No fenced ones in oc go to one in Milwaukee	10/9/2019 5:31 PM
96	Sandy river delta 1,000 acres or Mary s young	10/9/2019 5:31 PM
97	Chapin	10/9/2019 5:19 PM
98	Walk around the neighborhood 2 times a day.	10/9/2019 5:14 PM
99	Mary a young and clackamette park	10/9/2019 5:00 PM
100	na	10/9/2019 4:53 PM
101	Fenced parks	10/9/2019 4:46 PM
102	My yard and neighborhood	10/9/2019 4:40 PM
103	N/A	10/9/2019 3:56 PM
104	Just walk around the neighborhood.	10/9/2019 3:07 PM
105	Clackamette Cove	10/9/2019 2:32 PM
106	Oregon City Jackson Campus Softball Field	10/9/2019 10:18 AM
107	Dog areas outside Oregon City	10/9/2019 7:31 AM
108	Off leash areas are my only option	10/8/2019 9:40 PM


Dog Off-Leash Areas in Oregon City Parks

Item 3.

109	neighborhood	10/8/2019 4:22 PM
110	Neighborhood sidewalks, our own property	10/8/2019 12:16 PM
111	Outside oc	10/8/2019 12:04 PM
112	Portland	10/8/2019 11:23 AM
113	Clackamette Cove	10/8/2019 10:38 AM
114	In our yard, or we walk a neighborhood.	10/8/2019 9:11 AM
115	CCC, McLoughlin Promenade, do not do off-leash w/out fences	10/8/2019 8:14 AM
116	Walk on leash around the neighborhood	10/8/2019 7:05 AM
117	Walk around the neighborhood. Sometimes at the softball field at the Jackson campus	10/8/2019 6:10 AM
118	West linn	10/8/2019 5:58 AM
119	North Clackamas Park - fenced dog area	10/7/2019 11:55 PM
120	The enclosed ball field at the old highschool	10/7/2019 11:51 PM
121	Neighborhood	10/7/2019 10:35 PM
122	Beavercreek near Dans Ave	10/7/2019 10:12 PM

Q7 How satisfied are you with the current Off-Leash Dog Areas (OLDA) in Oregon Clty?


Answered: 151 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfied	5.96%	9
Satisfied	39.74%	60
Dissatisfied (please explain)	54.30%	82
TOTAL		151

Q8 Would you be more likely to visit a fenced dog park than an Off-Leash Dog Area (OLDA)?


Answered: 156 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, I do not use the unfenced Off-Leash Dog Areas	30.77%	48
Yes, I prefer a fenced dog park	44.87%	70
No, I would visit both	19.23%	30
No, I prefer unfenced Off-Leash Dog Areas	5.13%	8
TOTAL		156

Q9 If the City were to build a fenced dog park, what would be most important to you in the design? (please rank 1-7, 1 most important)


Answered: 154 Skipped: 3



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	TOTAL	SCORE
Location	19.42% 27	14.39% 20	10.07% 14	10.79% 15	13.67% 19	17.99% 25	13.67% 19	139	4.06
Cleanliness	14.69% 21	27.97% 40	18.88% 27	18.18% 26	10.49% 15	6.29% 9	3.50% 5	143	4.85
Maintenance	4.20% 6	7.69% 11	21.68% 31	19.58% 28	25.87% 37	15.38% 22	5.59% 8	143	3.76
Size of play area	19.73% 29	16.33% 24	17.01% 25	23.13% 34	9.52% 14	8.16% 12	6.12% 9	147	4.65
Multiple play areas (large/small dogs, playful/shy dogs)	28.86% 43	12.08% 18	10.74% 16	8.72% 13	13.42% 20	14.09% 21	12.08% 18	149	4.44
Dog amenities (trash cans, dog stations, water)	10.88% 16	17.69% 26	17.01% 25	12.93% 19	16.33% 24	23.13% 34	2.04% 3	147	4.16
People amenities (benches, picnic tables, bulletin boards)	4.61% 7	5.92% 9	6.58% 10	8.55% 13	10.53% 16	12.50% 19	51.32% 78	152	2.43

Q10 What are the benefits you receive from a visit to the Off-Leash Dog Area? (please check all that apply)

Answered: 150 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Socialization for dogs	79.33%	119
Socialization for people	31.33%	47
Exercise for dogs	88.67%	133
Exercise for people	19.33%	29
Potty break	17.33%	26
Other (please specify)	10.67%	16
Total Respondents: 150		

Q11 Is there a park in Oregon City without an Off-Leash Dog Area that you would like to see one added?

Answered: 97 Skipped: 60

Q11 Is there a park in Oregon City without an Off-Leash Dog Area that you would like to see one added?

Answered: 97 Skipped: 60

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	ANYTHING IN CAUFIELD! We lack biking and walking paths for humans AND dogs! It's like everyone forgot about how important physical movement is for every living thing.	10/29/2019 9:04 PM
2	Chapin	10/28/2019 6:32 PM
3	all parks sould have a fenced area available for off-leash	10/27/2019 7:08 PM
4	ABERNATHY CREEK PARK	10/25/2019 3:18 PM
5	No	10/19/2019 3:07 PM
6	Stafford Park	10/19/2019 2:00 PM
7	Rivercrest or hillendale	10/19/2019 8:26 AM
8	Chapin	10/19/2019 6:29 AM
9	Chapin	10/18/2019 11:49 PM
10	Stafford park	10/18/2019 10:57 AM
11	N/A	10/17/2019 7:45 PM
12	Chapin park	10/17/2019 4:35 PM
13	Rivercrest park would be a great location for a FENCED Dog area.	10/17/2019 4:01 PM
14	Chapin Park. People are using it that way already and it is not good. There is plenty of room for one though and it would separate them from people who want to walk in their dog on a leash	10/17/2019 3:04 PM
15	i dont know	10/17/2019 2:59 PM
16	Not sure, but stay away from water, ie the river or clackamette park- goose poop often makes dogs sick.	10/16/2019 8:58 PM
17	No	10/14/2019 8:15 PM
18	Anywhere	10/14/2019 8:02 PM
19	The new Tyrone Powers park- a fenced dog park area!	10/14/2019 7:49 PM
20	No	10/14/2019 7:36 PM
21	I would like all of the parks to have a fenced off leash area	10/14/2019 7:29 PM
22	Singer Creek	10/14/2019 10:09 AM
23	No	10/14/2019 12:26 AM
24	Not Rivercrest!	10/13/2019 11:02 PM
25	Singer hill park	10/13/2019 10:28 PM
26	Chspin	10/13/2019 9:32 PM
27	No	10/13/2019 9:08 PM
28	Barclay Hills Neighborhood Park	10/13/2019 8:59 PM
29	Idk	10/13/2019 8:17 PM
30	Chapin	10/13/2019 8:00 PM
31	Chapin park	10/13/2019 6:50 PM
32	Chapman	10/13/2019 12:00 PM

Dog Off-Leash Areas in Oregon City Parks

Item 3.

33	Nope we need a fenced in one	10/13/2019 11:48 AM
34	N	10/13/2019 9:17 AM
35	Idk	10/12/2019 8:55 PM
36	N/a	10/12/2019 8:46 PM
37	Clackamette park between the two rivers	10/12/2019 3:32 PM
38	No one in Oregon City has their pet on a leash.	10/11/2019 10:56 PM
39	Chapin	10/11/2019 7:31 PM
40	Tyrone woods park near OCHS	10/11/2019 1:00 PM
41	Hillendale	10/11/2019 12:46 PM
42	Chapin Park	10/11/2019 3:11 AM
43	I think vastly inns area could be fenced in or the new park by the high school having one would be great	10/10/2019 11:17 PM
44	Chapin park	10/10/2019 7:51 PM
45	Yes	10/10/2019 7:01 PM
46	I'm happy with where they are	10/10/2019 6:59 PM
47	Canemah	10/10/2019 3:45 PM
48	Stafford City Park, Singer Hill Park	10/10/2019 2:31 PM
49	No	10/10/2019 12:58 PM
50	Chapin Park	10/10/2019 11:49 AM
51	No	10/10/2019 11:40 AM
52	Chapin	10/10/2019 11:38 AM
53	No	10/10/2019 10:29 AM
54	Not sure	10/10/2019 9:52 AM
55	No	10/10/2019 9:17 AM
56	any. I will drive	10/10/2019 8:33 AM
57	No	10/10/2019 8:16 AM
58	No - just a fenced one anywhere really, would be great.	10/10/2019 7:28 AM
59	Chapin	10/9/2019 10:31 PM
60	No	10/9/2019 9:56 PM
61	Chapin	10/9/2019 9:18 PM
62	They should all have one	10/9/2019 8:36 PM
63	Chapin Park	10/9/2019 8:14 PM
64	Somewhere near CCC	10/9/2019 7:25 PM
65	No	10/9/2019 7:16 PM
66	No	10/9/2019 6:47 PM
67	No	10/9/2019 6:46 PM
68	Wesley Lynn	10/9/2019 6:41 PM
69	Old Oregon city high school	10/9/2019 6:16 PM
70	No	10/9/2019 6:08 PM
71	Rivercrest Park	10/9/2019 5:58 PM
72	No	10/9/2019 5:40 PM

Dog Off-Leash Areas in Oregon City Parks

Item 3.

73	Chapin	10/9/2019 5:39 PM
74	Want fenced	10/9/2019 5:31 PM
75	Rivercrest	10/9/2019 5:31 PM
76	Chapin	10/9/2019 5:20 PM
77	Clackamette	10/9/2019 5:20 PM
78	Chapin Park	10/9/2019 5:15 PM
79	na	10/9/2019 4:53 PM
80	No	10/9/2019 4:51 PM
81	N/a	10/9/2019 4:47 PM
82	Mclver	10/9/2019 4:25 PM
83	Chapin	10/9/2019 4:15 PM
84	Hillendale park	10/9/2019 3:57 PM
85	Hillendale, and under the power lines by Jo-Ann Fabrics	10/9/2019 3:12 PM
86	No, just like Wesley Lynn	10/9/2019 3:08 PM
87	Stafford Park and Clackamette Cove	10/9/2019 2:40 PM
88	Just a fenced area first	10/8/2019 4:23 PM
89	McLoughlin Promenade	10/8/2019 12:16 PM
90	Hillendale	10/8/2019 12:05 PM
91	All of them.	10/8/2019 10:39 AM
92	new park by high school	10/8/2019 8:14 AM
93	Chapin Park	10/7/2019 11:59 PM
94	No	10/7/2019 11:51 PM
95	Hillendale	10/7/2019 10:45 PM
96	Wesley park	10/7/2019 10:35 PM
97	Rivercrest and Hillendale deserve fenced areas	10/7/2019 10:15 PM

Q12 Do you have any additional comments or concerns regarding the Off-Leash Dog Areas (OLDA)?

Answered: 71 Skipped: 86

Q12 Do you have any additional comments or concerns regarding the Off-Leash Dog Areas (OLDA)?

Answered: 71 Skipped: 86

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Fence the parks!	10/28/2019 6:32 PM
2	They should always be monitored, maintained, and available year round.	10/27/2019 7:08 PM
3	Parks in general are lacking and not keeping up with population growth. Been here over 20years.	10/26/2019 10:14 AM
4	ITS IMPORTANT TO HAVE TREES FOR SHADED AREAS, AND IT ALSO BRINGS MORE ANIMAL ESCAPE ROUTES FOR NON DOGS THAT ALSO LIVE IN THE PARKS. A WATER SOURCE AND JOGGING TRAILS.	10/25/2019 3:18 PM
5	The OLDA needs to be fenced for those sneaky escape artists.	10/24/2019 9:17 PM
6	Make them fenced. Non dog owners don't always enjoy dogs	10/19/2019 3:07 PM
7	No	10/19/2019 8:26 AM
8	Although any space where dogs have a place to run and play is great, I'd frequent a fenced in dog park a lot more. It would be great to have such a place in my own community. Great opportunity for our city to become an even better/more family friendly place. Our pets do deserve to be safe too.	10/18/2019 11:49 PM
9	No	10/17/2019 7:45 PM
10	The main thing is to have a fenced area. Easier to keep track of two dogs at once.	10/17/2019 4:35 PM
11	Have Fenced locations for off-leash dog areas.	10/17/2019 4:01 PM
12	No.	10/17/2019 3:04 PM
13	My sister keeps taking about wanting to go to a really nice one in wilsonville. This would save her the drive.	10/16/2019 8:58 PM
14	No	10/14/2019 8:02 PM
15	I've read there are plans to make the new Tyrone Powers lark, by the high school, a dog park. For safety, I think it should be fenced. It will be next to a busy road (Meyers and hwy 213) AND the bus barn.	10/14/2019 7:49 PM
16	No	10/14/2019 7:36 PM
17	As a new resident to Oregon City last year, I was appalled that a city of 100,000+ residents does not have a dog park. Oregon City is one of the largest cities in the country without a dog park. State surveys project that around 60% of households in Oregon have dogs, and roughly half of dog owners surveyed nationwide visit a dog park weekly. I'm not a mathematician, but that's about 30,000 folks in Oregon City that would theoretically visit your dog park on a regular basis. It's just common sense that a city of your size, in this state, should have at least one dog park.	10/14/2019 10:09 AM
18	We would love a fenced dog park in Oregon City!	10/14/2019 8:39 AM
19	No	10/14/2019 12:26 AM
20	Clear signs designating off leash areas would be very nice. Trash cans are a necessity. I don't mind bringing my own bags, but hate putting soiled bags in my car to take home.	10/13/2019 10:28 PM
21	Mo	10/13/2019 9:32 PM
22	If this is done at the taxpayer expense I vote no. Do more to get the homeless off the street and drug addicts into rehab, etc... that is a far worse problem than if Fido has dog friends and a place to poo.	10/13/2019 9:08 PM
23	Offering off leash as an option is a relevant if the area is not fenced or otherwise protected. Off leash means fenced.	10/13/2019 8:59 PM

Dog Off-Leash Areas in Oregon City Parks

Item 3.

24	N	10/13/2019 9:17 AM
25	No	10/12/2019 8:55 PM
26	N/a	10/12/2019 8:46 PM
27	Please make sure there are tall trees and educational signage about the area and the effects of animals and humans on our surrounding habitat and what as a citizen we can do, I would also like to see draught tolerant and pollination gardens to enhance out parks. I think both types of people could mingle and be informative to each other.	10/12/2019 3:32 PM
28	Why provide off leash dog areas when no one uses leashes anyway.	10/11/2019 10:56 PM
29	I think they all need fencing.	10/11/2019 12:46 PM
30	We used the facility as we were traveling through the area visiting family. thank you for having these parks for all people.	10/11/2019 9:41 AM
31	As long as you had the amenities to keep it clean and have bark chips so it wasn't muddy I know a dog park would get great use in Oregon city. There is a empty field in my neighborhood (Hazel grove) that Would make a great dog park	10/10/2019 11:17 PM
32	I would LOVE and support an enclosed off leash dog park	10/10/2019 7:01 PM
33	Would just love a fenced one for dog safety	10/10/2019 6:59 PM
34	Need better publicity/outreach	10/10/2019 4:05 PM
35	The park off of Holmes, Stafford City Park, would make a fantastic fenced dog park. Hardly anyone uses it, you could add some off street parking on Holmes, and there already is off street parking on Mt. View. All you would need to to do is fence it in. In the L shape it's in, you could easily have a small dog and large dog area.	10/10/2019 2:31 PM
36	I would LOVE a large, FENCED dog park in our City!! I drive to West Linn/Lake Oswego for theirs or way out to Beavercreek for my father in laws property to let our girls run. I feel if people had a chance to let their dogs run, they'd be better behaved in neighborhoods (less barking because they're tired, etc.). A tired dog, is a good dog!	10/10/2019 12:04 PM
37	Fencing or away from roads and cars. Would love to see agility equipment. Great way for dogs and people to come together and mine need to work their minds since they are Aussies.	10/10/2019 11:38 AM
38	No	10/10/2019 10:29 AM
39	Please keep them	10/10/2019 9:52 AM
40	please get one. the dogs with appropriate supervision learn socialization with other dogs. this will minimize aggressive dogs outside of park Craig davis	10/10/2019 8:33 AM
41	Nope	10/10/2019 8:16 AM
42	No	10/10/2019 7:28 AM
43	No standing water- I've visited dog parks in the past with standing water that is unsanitary and caused dog illnesses.	10/10/2019 7:27 AM
44	Looking forward to the Tyrone Woods dog park	10/9/2019 11:24 PM
45	Fencing the park would be nice. Also having a water source for dogs water.	10/9/2019 9:56 PM
46	Fenced. They need to be fenced.	10/9/2019 9:18 PM
47	The one at Wesley Lynn needs to stay instead of putting in houses. So many dogs benefit from it. My dog literally stopped in her tracks when she saw part of the field was fenced off. It's sad to see houses taking over community spaces.	10/9/2019 8:36 PM
48	I wouldnt really care what park as long as it was fenced. Our dog is friendly but when playing does tend to take a few minutes to register we are calling. Also makes it safer keeps them confined to the arra and less likely a child will come running into the area and possibly get knocked down or jumped on.	10/9/2019 8:14 PM
49	Just fences please!! Keep the dogs and kids safe!!	10/9/2019 7:25 PM
50	Fences would be amazing. My dog is generally good but will take off if something seems worthy. Fence adds that extra barrier to keep them contained.	10/9/2019 7:16 PM

Dog Off-Leash Areas in Oregon City Parks

Item 3.

51	No	10/9/2019 6:47 PM
52	Dog areas are needed	10/9/2019 5:44 PM
53	I would definitely prefer and LOVE to see a fenced dog area. It's much safer for dogs	10/9/2019 5:40 PM
54	Need fenced olda	10/9/2019 5:31 PM
55	No	10/9/2019 5:31 PM
56	No	10/9/2019 5:15 PM
57	na	10/9/2019 4:53 PM
58	Its a shame that Wesley Lynn dog park has been downsized. There is no cover for rainy days now that the mature trees were cut down.	10/9/2019 4:51 PM
59	N/a	10/9/2019 4:47 PM
60	I really appreciate that this is being considered. After moving back to the area for New York we feel our dogs are losing social skills because there is no where to socialize them.	10/9/2019 4:25 PM
61	I think fenced dog parks would be much safer and used more frequently	10/9/2019 3:57 PM
62	I love the space at Wesley Lynn and it's in a great location. My only request is to add in a dog water fountain and maybe some additional seating.	10/9/2019 3:08 PM
63	There are no trash cans for dog poop at Barclay Park.	10/9/2019 2:40 PM
64	Please keep Wesley Lynn	10/8/2019 9:41 PM
65	Add some kind of fenced off leash dog area	10/8/2019 4:23 PM
66	People need dogs to teach them how to get along in social settings.	10/8/2019 10:39 AM
67	Love that park place is one	10/8/2019 7:06 AM
68	Our area would really benefit from a fenced option. It's sad that our nearest options are in other cities, 20 minutes away. We would use the park more than once or twice a week if it was located in our own city.	10/7/2019 11:59 PM
69	No	10/7/2019 11:51 PM
70	I would like to see more off leash areas and also reminders for people to keep dogs leashed in other areas of parks.	10/7/2019 10:45 PM
71	The Wesley Lynn park has an amazing dog community! We go back because the people are so warm and friendly.	10/7/2019 9:42 PM



CITY OF OREGON CITY

Staff Report

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

To: City Commission
From: Senior Planner Pete Walter

Agenda Date: 09/24/2020

SUBJECT:

Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee: Heritage Stand Nomination for Park Place Park

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the PRAC consider revising the Heritage Stand designation to only include trees that are in good condition, safely accessible and visible to park visitors.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Planning and Parks staff are recommending a revised proposal to move forward with nomination of some of the trees in Park Place Park and propose that the others would not be nominated due to various issues. In addition, we wanted to recognize that the trees which staff were not proposing to move forward on (such as those surrounded by poison oak) would be protected under the draft policy which includes elevated levels of investigation of alternatives to removal and approval for public trees which qualify.

BACKGROUND:

PRAC reviewed the original recommendation on February 27, 2020. The Park Place nomination includes 27 trees, consisting of 19 Oregon White Oaks, 2 Douglas Firs, and 6 Atlas Cedars. PRAC recommended that the nomination be approved for all trees that are not in a state of decline. Additional information about tree conditions, and the development of the new tree removal and notification policy for trees on city-owned land necessitate a revised recommendation.

Any tree removal on or abutting city-owned land is subject to a Type I Site Plan and Design Review process for tree removal. This permit requires a detailed examination of the tree condition prior to removal and require mitigation pursuant to OCMC 17.41.

In addition, the City Commission is considering the Additional Permitting and Notification Process for City Tree Removal. This policy applies to City removal of trees:

- On City or Urban Renewal owned property; or
- Within the right-of-way.

The purpose of this policy is to reduce unnecessary tree removal by the City and provide public notification of such removal.

The codes, permitting requirements and policy provide sufficient protections and public notification for trees on park property. Heritage tree designation does not provide any further protection for trees that is not already embodied in the current code and draft policy.

Furthermore, several of the trees in the Park Place Park nomination are in areas that:

- Are in areas of poison oak
- Within the Geologic Hazard Overlay District, which further regulates tree removal
- Are not publicly accessible or visible.

Parks staff are responsible for managing trees to assure public safety with an extremely limited budget, and it is imperative that they be able to do their jobs without subjecting tree removal to politicized and discretionary processes. The existing code and proposed policy assure an objective and reasonable standard that assures professional and expert consideration of alternatives to tree removal and notification by the public.

For these reasons, staff is recommending that PRAC consider revising the proposed nomination.

"Heritage stand" means a group of two or more trees that have been designated by the city as having unique importance, subject to the heritage tree regulations of OCMC 12.32.

"Heritage tree" means a tree that has been designated by the city as having unique importance, and subject to the heritage tree regulations of OCMC 12.32. Where a grouping of two or more heritage trees has been so designated, the term "heritage stand" may be used.

OCMC 12.32.040.A. Nominations for trees or tree stands on city-owned property, other than right-of-way, may be submitted by any citizen of Oregon City and the City Commission shall make the final decision following the general procedure identified in subsection 12.32.030 above. If the nomination is for a city park, the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee shall also review the nomination and provide an advisory opinion prior to the Natural Resources Committee recommendation to the City Commission.

To be nominated as a heritage tree or stand, trees must meet the requirements in OCMC 12.32 (Attached). The criteria are:

- A. Heritage criteria (at least one heritage criterion must be met):
 - 1. The tree or stand of trees is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of Oregon City's history; or
 - 2. The tree or stand of trees is associated with the life of a person or group of historic significance to Oregon City; or
 - 3. The tree or stand of trees represents a significant and distinguishable presence within Oregon City; or
 - 4. The tree or stand of trees has age, size, or species significance (horticultural or ecological), which contributes to Oregon City's heritage status;
- B. Site and Condition Criteria (all criteria must be satisfied):
 - 1. The tree species is not listed as invasive on any regionally accepted plant list;
 - 2. If the proposed heritage tree or stand is located on private property or on public property owned by a public agency other than the city of Oregon City, the property owner or, if the tree or stand of trees is located on a public right-of-way, the abutting private property owner consents to the designation and agrees to sign a protective covenant.

2019 Heritage Stand Nominations

Park Place Park

Mountain View
Cemetery

Parks and
Recreation Advisory
Committee

2/27/2020

Purpose

◆ 12.32.010 - Purpose.

A. The purpose of this chapter is to recognize, foster appreciation and provide for voluntary protection of certain trees, because their age, species, natural resource value, ecological or horticultural value, or historical association, are of special importance to the city. These trees may grow on private or public property.

Definitions

- ◆ "Heritage stand" means a group of two or more trees that have been designated by the city as having unique importance, subject to the heritage tree regulations of OCMC 12.32.
- ◆ "Heritage grove" (or stand) means is at least two heritage trees separated by no more than twenty feet on a property or properties.
- ◆ "Heritage tree" means a tree that has been designated by the city as having unique importance, and subject to the heritage tree regulations of OCMC 12.32. Where a grouping of two or more heritage trees has been so designated, the term "heritage stand" may be used.

Criteria for designation (OCMC 12.32.070)

The City Commission may designate a tree or stand of trees as a heritage tree or heritage stand if the commission determines that the tree or stand of trees is consistent with a positive balance of the factors set forth below.

A. Heritage criteria (at least one heritage criterion must be met):

1. The tree or stand of trees is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of Oregon City's history; or
2. The tree or stand of trees is associated with the life of a person or group of historic significance to Oregon City; or
3. The tree or stand of trees represents a significant and distinguishable presence within Oregon City; or
4. The tree or stand of trees has age, size, or species significance (horticultural or ecological), which contributes to Oregon City's heritage status.

Site and Condition Criteria

B. Site and Condition Criteria (all criteria must be satisfied):

1. The tree species is not listed as invasive on any regionally accepted plant list;
2. If the proposed heritage tree or stand is located on private property or on public property owned by a public agency other than the city of Oregon City, the property owner or, if the tree or stand of trees is located on a public right-of-way, the abutting private property owner consents to the designation and agrees to sign a protective covenant.

Mountain View Cemetery

Giant Sequoia (3)

Sequoiadendron giganteum

Tree #	DBH"	Height'	Spread'
1	69	45	35
2	88	55	40
3	130	55	40

- Owner: City of Oregon City
- Nominator: Didi Dahlsrud



Mountain View Cemetery

Giant Sequoia (3)

Sequoiadendron giganteum




Park Place Park

Garry Oak (19 trees)
Quercus garryana

Douglas Fir (2 trees)
Pseudotsuga menziesii



Atlas Cedar (6 trees)
Cedrus atlantica

- ◇ Owner: City of Oregon City
- ◇ Nominator: Didi Dahlsrud




Park Place Park

Garry Oak (19 trees)
Quercus garryana




Park Place Park

Douglas Fir (2 trees)
Pseudotsuga menziesii



Park Place Park

Atlas Cedar (6 trees)
Cedrus atlantica



Designation

- ◆ For designation of heritage trees and stands on city parks and other city owned property, the designation shall be complete upon the City Commission's approval of the nomination and any documents determined by the commission to be legally necessary to ensure the preservation of the heritage tree or stand of trees, whether this be in the form of a restrictive covenant, or other instrument or agreement applicable to the specific site.

Protection

- ❖ City Manager has authority to allow removal of trees if “the burden imposed on the city by the continued presence of the tree, outweighs the public benefit provided by the tree.” (OCMC 12.32.080)
- ❖ The following activities are considered “reasonable burdens”:
 1. View obstruction;
 2. Routine pruning, leaf raking and other maintenance activities; and
 3. Infrastructure impacts or tree hazards that can be controlled or avoided by appropriate pruning or maintenance.

Discussion and Next Steps

- ◆ Natural Resources Committee – March 11th, 2020
- ◆ City Commission – March 19th, 2020

Thank you!

Questions?

Additional Permitting and Notification Draft Policy for City Tree Removal

NRC 8.12.20






- Internal Review Process
- Consider Alternatives
- Public Notification



Purpose: City Removal of Significant Trees
City Tree Removal Policy




Total Expenditure \$478,750 (\$13.35 per capita)



TREES PLANTED: 628



TREES PRUNED: 666



TREES REMOVED: 188

How Did We Get Here?


City Manager

City Commission

Natural Resources Commission

Planning Commission

City Commission



City Removal of Trees:

- On City or Urban Renewal Property
- Within the right-of-way.

The process does not apply to the public removal of City trees.



Draft Policy: Applicability
City Tree Removal Policy

Tree Eligibility Based on Size

Item 4.

Common Name	Species	Size (d.b.h)*
Oregon White Oak	<i>Quercus garryana</i>	8"
Douglas Fir	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	18"
Western Red Cedar	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	12"
Ponderosa Pine	<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	12"
Western Yew	<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	6"
Pacific Dogwood	<i>Cornus nuttallii</i>	5"
<u>Pacific Madrone</u>	<u><i>Arbutus menziesii</i></u>	<u>5"</u>
Other broadleaf tree species		20"
Other conifer trees		20"
*d.b.h = Diameter at breast height, means a measurement of the trunk or stem diameter of a mature tree at a height four and one-half feet above the ground level at the base of the tree.		



Draft Policy: Applicability City Tree Removal Policy

- Habitat Restoration Areas
- In/Abutting Water Quality Facilities
- OC Nuisance Plant List or Classified as Invasive or Problem Species in the Region
- Imminent Hazard Trees*



Draft Policy: Exemptions City Tree Removal Policy



Department Documentation

Item 4.



Location




Size



Species



Reason for Removal



Alternatives Considered



Draft Policy: Consider Alternatives

City Tree Removal Policy

Department Manager Review

Item 4.

Send to Third Party for Further Review

Certified Arborist

- Report with analysis of alternatives including cost and practicality
- Required for Trees >40" d.b.h)

Staff Assessment

- Public Works + Parks + Planning
- Report with analysis of alternatives including cost and practicality

Draft Policy: Consider Alternatives

14 Day Notice Once Permit Submitted

- Website
- Physical Notice

City Manager review if unresolved questions or concerns




Draft Policy: Submit a Permit + Notice


City Tree Removal Policy

Trees >40" d.b.h


- City Commission Consent Agenda
- Notice to NRC, PRAC, TAC



- Trees >40" d.b.h. not allowed fee-in-lieu



- Reuse wood if feasible for trees ≥ 25 " d.b.h.



- Establish Annual Budget to Support Policy



Draft Policy: Comments / Questions

City Tree Removal Policy



CITY OF OREGON CITY

Staff Report

625 Center Street
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-657-0891

To: City Commission
From: Senior Planner Pete Walter

Agenda Date: 08/24/2020

SUBJECT:

OC 2040: Community Conversation with the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee (PRAC)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Participation in the OC2040 Community Conversation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Staff will be facilitating a Community Conversation with the members of the Planning Commission to help guide land use decisions over the next 20 years.

BACKGROUND:

The City of Oregon City launched OC2040, a citywide effort to update the Oregon City Comprehensive Plan that will guide land use decisions over the next 20 years. The first step in the process is to create a community vision that reflects what community members love and want to preserve about Oregon City, and what they would like to see change by 2040. The Comprehensive Plan will consist of broad goals and policies to implement the vision and guide future growth and development.

Community Conversation Kits allow anyone to facilitate a discussion with groups, committees, clubs and other organizations. These can be done virtually, or in small, physically distanced groups. The purpose of these Community Conversations is to engage with a broad cross-section of Oregon City residents, business owners and partners around a Community Vision. Staff will facilitate this Community Conversation with the members of the Planning Commission.

These Community Conversations are anticipated through the summer into October of 2020. The creation and adoption of the Community Vision will occur in late Fall-early Winter, which will inform the development of policy recommendations in the update to the Comprehensive Plan next year.

More information may be found at www.oc2040.com .

OPTIONS:

1. Participate in the Community Conversation
2. Do Not Participate in the Community Conversation

BUDGET IMPACT:

Amount: N/A

FY(s): N/A

Funding Source(s): N/A



Oregon City Comprehensive Plan Update

COMMUNITY CONVERSATIONS KIT

July 20, 2020

Table of Contents

Preparation Checklist	2
Presenters Guide (up to 60 minutes)	3
Discussion Leader Tips	4
Community Visioning Questions	5
Notes Page	6
Sign-In Sheets	7

PREPARATION CHECKLIST

Before the Event	(√)
Be familiar with all the items in this guide. Contact Pete Walter (503) 496-1568) or via email pwalter@orccity.org	
For In-Person Community Conversations: <i>If you are able, print clean copies of materials, including:</i> • Sign-in sheet • Discussion Questions (enough to share with participants) • Notes page(s) for small groups • This Community Conversations Kit as your quick reference guide	
For Virtual or Phone-In Community Conversations Have the following materials handy (paper copies or on a computer) for notetaking: • Sign-in sheet • Discussion Questions • Notes page(s) • This Community Conversations Kit as your quick reference guide	
Ask yourself the following questions: • Have you confirmed the meeting location or video conference information? • If this Community Conversation in part of another meeting, have you confirmed a place on the agenda? How long do you have? • Do you have enough materials to share with expected participants?	
After Event	(√)
Within one week, please email or scan the sign in sheet and your notes directly to Pete (pwalter@orccity.org) Or Enter into the online survey form . For the online survey form; • Skip the demographic questions that don't apply. • For the last question, enter your name and the name of your group into the Name prompt. • Enter your email address into the Email prompt. • Please send additional email addresses for the project mailing list to Pete Walter.	

PRESENTER'S GUIDE (Up to 60 Minutes)

Please review this guide prior to leading your discussion. If you have between 15 – 60 minutes for the presentation, please adjust the timing below accordingly leaving more a majority of the time for discussion. Prompts and explanatory text are included in bullets below.

Presentation

0 – 15 minutes: Introduction and Overview

- *Distribute sign-in sheet. Invite participants to sign up for the project email list.*
- For Virtual or Phone Community Conversations, identify the name and email address of participants expected prior to the meeting. At the beginning of the meeting, have everyone confirm their name and email addresses, and whether they would like to be signed up for the project email list. (or follow up via email or in a video-conferencing chatroom).
- Share the discussion questions in advance, and/or share your screen with the discussion questions. *Distribute copies of the discussion questions so people can follow along.*
- Introduce yourself and your role in the project.
- Provide a brief contextual overview of the 2040 Oregon City Comprehensive Plan Update and your role. A sample is provided below:

“The City of Oregon City is launching OC2040, a citywide effort to update the Oregon City Comprehensive Plan that will guide land use decisions over the next 20 years. The first step in the process is to create a community vision that reflects what community members love and want to preserve about Oregon City, and what they would like to see change by 2040. The Comprehensive Plan will consist of broad goals and policies to implement the vision and guide future growth and development.

The purpose of these Community Conversations is to engage with a broad cross-section of Oregon City residents, business owners and partners around a Community Vision. These Community Conversations are anticipated through the summer into October of 2020. The creation and adoption of the Community Vision will occur in late Fall-early Winter, which will inform the development of policy recommendations in the update to the Comprehensive Plan next year. Thank you for talking with us!”

15 – 45 minutes: Listening and Discussion.

- Lead the group discussion around the questions in this kit.
- Have participants affirm your understanding of the discussion by repeating back any major themes or ideas.
- *For frequently mentioned themes, ideas or topics, place a check mark for each mention in your notes.*
- Ask for additional questions and comments.

45 – 60 minutes: Report Back and Next Steps.

- Thank participants for the discussion.
- Point participants to the project web site (www.OC2040.com) for access to materials and updates on meetings, events and surveys.
- Invite members to the next community event or survey.

After the meeting

Within a week: Scan or email the discussion notes and sign-in sheet to Pete.

DISCUSSION LEADER TIPS

General Principles

As the presenter and discussion leader, your role is to help create an open and shared environment so that all participants have the opportunity for discussion. Please follow the agenda closely as you have only limited time. “Prompts” are shown in the italicized print below. It is OK if people disagree! There is no need for consensus or agreement.

General Guidelines

- Stick to the agenda and move the conversation forward.
- If a point is made that is off-topic, write it down, then guide the discussion back to the question at hand.
- Encourage everyone to participate but do not allow anyone to dominate.
- Keep the discussion moving by summarizing and synthesizing.
- Resist the temptation to voice your own opinions or to be the “expert” on the subject.
- Start and end each meeting on time.
- Have fun!

Specific Guidelines

- Emphasize that there are no “right” or “wrong” answers. Everyone’s opinion is valid.
- Give credence to differences, but do not dwell on them. *It’s important that we have an open discussion. There are no right or wrong answers.*
- Do not hesitate to say you do not know the answers to a specific question. Make note of the question and ask the individual to see you later.
- Follow the meeting flow. *That’s a good question/idea/issue, I’ll write it down to make sure we don’t forget that point.*
- Move the group along politely but firmly. *I see we have only a few minutes left and we have more discussion questions to cover or Thank you for your suggestion.*
- Make sure each participant has a chance to speak. *Who else has something to add?*
- Summarize the discussion as you go along, validating it with participants. *Have I captured all key points?*
- Five minutes before the discussion ends, summarize the discussion. Ask participants for any final thoughts. *Is there anything we have missed?*

Thank everyone for participating!

COMMUNITY VISIONING QUESTIONS

Oregon was one of the first places in the United States to pioneer the use of community-based visioning. In a state recognized for innovative local planning and growth management policies, visioning is an important precursor to local planning and a tool to help communities better manage complex change. A comprehensive approach to visioning can be framed by the following **bolded** question themes (and the many ways to ask them!). Based on your audience, choose one from each question theme.

Why did you choose live/work in Oregon City?

What makes Oregon City special today? What should we strive to preserve or enhance?

- What are some of Oregon City's most cherished attributes?
- Where do you spend time in Oregon City? Specific parks, stores, restaurants, etc.
- What is going well in Oregon City?
- What about Oregon City makes you proud?

What about Oregon City would you like to change in the future? What can improve?

- As the community develops a 2040 Vision and Comprehensive Plan update, what is on the horizon that we should be sure to consider?
- What, if anything, causes you concern about the future of Oregon City?
- How has Oregon City changed over the last 5 years? 10 years? 20 years?
- What changes have you seen in Oregon City that you like? What changes don't you like?
- Describe your ideal Oregon City in 2040. What has changed?
- Looking into the future, what do you want to see in the Oregon City of 2040?

Is there anything else you want to share about the future of Oregon City?

- What are some of the steps towards making your vision a reality?
- What do we need to work on and overcome to achieve your vision?
- What should the Vision process address for the Comprehensive Plan Update?

In what ways would you like to participate in the OC2040 process?

- Attend public meetings
- Comment on the vision website
- Follow on the City's Facebook page or other social media
- Complete online questionnaires
- Through my neighborhood association
- Through my school, place of worship or other group
- Read the Oregon City news and/or Trail news
- Stay informed by email
- Other

What people, groups or communities should we contact to make this an inclusive process?

DISCUSSION NOTES

(Use a ✓ = Frequently mentioned)

INTRODUCTIONS

Why did you choose live/work in Oregon City?

What makes Oregon City special today? What should we strive to preserve or enhance?

What about Oregon City would you like to change in the future? What can improve?

Is there anything else you want to share about the future of Oregon City?

In what ways would you like to participate in the OC2040 process?

What people, groups or communities should we contact to make this an inclusive process?

✓ = Frequently mentioned

SIGN-IN SHEET

Organization: _____

Date: _____ Discussion leader(s): _____

NAME	E-MAIL ADDRESS	ADD ME TO THE MAILING LIST (✓)